arXiv:1107.2825v2 [hep-th] 10 Aug 2011

UG-11-77
MIFPA-11-31

Off-shell D=5, N=2 Riemann Squared Supergravity

Eric A. Bergshoeff[1], **Jan Rosseel**[1] **and Ergin Sezgin**[2]

[1] *Centre for Theoretical Physics, University of Groningen,*
Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands
email: `E.A.Bergshoeff@rug.nl, j.rosseel@rug.nl`

[3] *George and Cynthia Woods Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics and Astronomy,*
Texas A& M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA
email: `sezgin@tamu.edu`

ABSTRACT

We construct a new off-shell invariant in $\mathcal{N} = 2, D = 5$ supergravity whose leading term is the square of the Riemann tensor. It contains a gravitational Chern-Simons term involving the vector field that belongs to the supergravity multiplet. The action is obtained by mapping the transformation rules of a spin connection with bosonic torsion and a set of curvatures to the fields of the Yang-Mills multiplet with gauge group SO$(4, 1)$. We also employ the circle reduction of an action that describes locally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in six dimensions.

1 Introduction

It is well-known that in string theory the Einstein action of general relativity gets modified by an infinite series of terms of higher order in the Riemann tensor. To obtain the supersymmetric extension of such an infinite series is an open problem. In lower dimensions there exist auxiliary field formulations of Poincaré supergravity which makes it possible to construct supersymmetric actions that contain only the first order correction to the Einstein action. This has been done for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry in $D = 6$ dimensions a long time ago [1–3]. The resulting action contains an Einstein plus a Riemann tensor squared term. The construction of [1–3] was based on the observation that the Weyl multiplet underlying Poincaré supergravity has formally the same supersymmetry transformation rules as a Yang-Mills multiplet, for Yang-Mills group $SO(5,1)$. Actually, there exist two different Weyl multiplets [4] and this analogy only works for the so-called Dilaton Weyl multiplet which contains, amongst others, a dilaton-like scalar field that can be used as the compensating field for dilatations and an antisymmetric tensor gauge field. In this analogy the Yang-Mills vector A_μ^I transforms formally the same as a certain torsionful spin connection $\omega_{\mu}{}_{+}^{ab}$ where the torsion is proportional to the three-form field-strength tensor of the antisymmetric tensor gauge field. The supersymmetry rules only coincide after fixing the conformal symmetries using the scalar field to fix the dilatations.

Another example of a supersymmetric higher order invariant has been constructed in $D = 5$ dimensions [5]. The leading term of this invariant is a Weyl curvature squared term that is multiplied by a compensating scalar, to make it invariant under dilatations. This compensating scalar belongs to a separate gauge multiplet. An interesting feature of this invariant is that it contains a mixed gauge-gravitational Chern-Simons term

$$A \wedge \mathrm{tr}(R \wedge R) \ , \tag{1.1}$$

where A belongs to the gauge multiplet and R to the supergravity multiplet. This mixed Chern-Simons term plays an important role in discussing higher-order corrections to black hole entropy, see e.g. [6, 7], and higher-order effects in the AdS/CFT correspondence, see e.g. [8, 9].

In this note we show that, by applying the techniques of [1–3] to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry in $D = 5$ dimensions we can construct a higher order invariant that differs from the one presented in [5]. The leading term of this new invariant is the Riemann tensor squared. Unlike the invariant of [5] this one is purely gravitational in the sense that the compensating scalar for dilatations, that multiplies the Riemann tensor squared term, belongs to the Weyl multiplet. In analogy to (1.1) the new invariant contains a purely gravitational Chern-Simons term

$$C \wedge \mathrm{tr}(R \wedge R)\,, \tag{1.2}$$

where both C and R belong to the supergravity multiplet. It is natural to expect that this term will also be relevant in exploring the effects of higher-derivative corrections in black hole entropy and the AdS/CFT correspondence.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we will record the relevant elements of the so-called Dilaton Weyl multiplet and Yang-Mills multiplet with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry in $5D$. In section 3, we shall go over to a convenient basis for the fields which is equivalent to fixing the superconformal symmetries, in the sense that the new fields are invariant under dilatations and S-supersymmetry. Section 4 contains the key observation of this paper which states that the transformation rules of a spin connection with bosonic torsion and a set of curvatures in the Dilaton Weyl multiplet formally transform in the same manner as the fields of a Yang-Mills multiplet with gauge group SO(4, 1). The explicit correspondence can be found in eq. (4.17). After we dimensionally reduce a locally supersymmetric Yang-Mills action from $6D$ to $5D$ in section 5, we make use of this observation to write down the supersymmetrization of the Riemann squared term in section 7. Further directions and comments are presented in the concluding section.

2 Conformal Multiplets

In this section, we will briefly recall some elements of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal tensor calculus in five dimensions, that will be useful in the construction of the new higher-derivative supergravity invariant. More specifically, we will review the relevant Weyl multiplet containing the various gauge fields of the superconformal symmetries and the Yang-Mills multiplet. Most of the results presented in this and the next section can be found in [10, 11].

2.1 The Dilaton Weyl Multiplet

There exist two Weyl multiplets in five dimensions, known as the Standard Weyl multiplet and the Dilaton Weyl multiplet. They were constructed in [10] and contain the same number of gauge fields but differ in their matter field content. The multiplet that is relevant for this paper is the Dilaton Weyl multiplet. It consists of the vielbein ${e_\mu}^a$, the gravitino ψ_μ^i, the dilation gauge field b_μ and the SU(2) gauge field $V_\mu^{ij} = V_\mu^{(ij)}$. These gauge fields are supplemented with matter fields to form a multiplet consisting of 32 bosonic and 32 fermionic off-shell degrees of freedom. For the Dilaton Weyl multiplet, these matter fields are given by a vector C_μ, an anti-symmetric tensor $B_{\mu\nu}$, a dilaton field σ and a fermion field ψ^i. The Q- and S-supersymmetry transformations (with parameters ϵ^i, η^i respectively) are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta {e_\mu}^a &= \tfrac{1}{2}\bar\epsilon\gamma^a\psi_\mu\,,\\
\delta\psi_\mu^i &= D_\mu(\widehat\omega)\epsilon^i + \mathrm{i}\,\gamma\cdot T\gamma_\mu\epsilon^i - \mathrm{i}\,\gamma_\mu\eta^i\,,\\
\delta {V_\mu}^{ij} &= -\tfrac{3}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar\epsilon^{(i}\phi_\mu^{j)} + 4\bar\epsilon^{(i}\gamma_\mu\chi^{j)} + \mathrm{i}\,\bar\epsilon^{(i}\gamma\cdot T\psi_\mu^{j)} + \tfrac{3}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar\eta^{(i}\psi_\mu^{j)}\,,\\
\delta C_\mu &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\sigma\bar\epsilon\psi_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar\epsilon\gamma_\mu\psi\,,\\
\delta B_{\mu\nu} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\sigma^2\bar\epsilon\gamma_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]} + \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\sigma\bar\epsilon\gamma_{\mu\nu}\psi + C_{[\mu}\delta(\epsilon)C_{\nu]}\,,\\
\delta\psi^i &= -\tfrac{1}{4}\gamma\cdot\widehat{G}\epsilon^i - \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\widehat{\not{D}}\sigma\epsilon^i + \sigma\gamma\cdot T\epsilon^i - \tfrac{1}{4}\,\mathrm{i}\,\sigma^{-1}\epsilon_j\bar\psi^i\psi^j + \sigma\eta^i\,,\\
\delta\sigma &= \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar\epsilon\psi\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\delta b_\mu = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar\epsilon\phi_\mu - 2\bar\epsilon\gamma_\mu\chi + \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar\eta\psi_\mu\,. \tag{2.1}$$

The ‘soft’ algebra that the Dilaton Weyl multiplet realizes is given in [10]. Several definitions, some of which will be needed later, are as follows. Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu(\widehat\omega)\epsilon^i &= \partial_\mu\epsilon^i + \tfrac{1}{2}b_\mu\epsilon^i + \tfrac{1}{4}\widehat\omega_\mu^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\epsilon^i - V_\mu^{ij}\epsilon_j\ , \\ \widehat D_\mu\sigma &= \partial_\mu\sigma - b_\mu\sigma - \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar\psi_\mu\psi\ , \\ \widehat D_\mu\psi^i &= (\partial_\mu - \tfrac{3}{2}b_\mu + \tfrac{1}{4}\widehat\omega_\mu{}^{ab}\gamma_{ab})\psi^i - V_\mu^{ij}\psi_j + \tfrac{1}{4}\gamma\cdot\widehat G\psi_\mu^i + \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\widehat{\not{D}}\sigma\psi_\mu^i \\ &\quad -\sigma\gamma\cdot T\psi_\mu^i + \tfrac{1}{4}\,\mathrm{i}\,\sigma^{-1}\psi_{\mu j}\bar\psi^i\psi^j - \sigma\phi_\mu^i\ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover, in the transformation rules we have used the composite fields

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{8}\sigma^{-2}\Big(\sigma\widehat G_{ab} + \tfrac{1}{6}\varepsilon_{abcde}\widehat H^{cde} + \tfrac{1}{4}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar\psi\gamma_{ab}\psi\Big)\ , \\ \chi^i &= \tfrac{1}{8}\,\mathrm{i}\,\sigma^{-1}\widehat{\not{D}}\psi^i + \tfrac{1}{16}\,\mathrm{i}\,\sigma^{-2}\widehat{\not{D}}\sigma\psi^i - \tfrac{1}{32}\sigma^{-2}\gamma\cdot\widehat G\psi^i \\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{4}\sigma^{-1}\gamma\cdot T\psi^i + \tfrac{1}{32}\,\mathrm{i}\,\sigma^{-3}\psi_j\bar\psi^i\psi^j\ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

In fact, T_{ab}, χ and a scalar field D, which does not arise here, constitute the matter fields of the so-called Standard Weyl multiplet [10], and the above expressions are needed to pass from this to the Dilaton Weyl multiplet. The expressions for the dependent spin connection $\widehat\omega_\mu{}^{ab}$ and the S-supersymmetry gauge field ϕ_μ^i are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat\omega_\mu^{ab} &= 2e^{\nu[a}\partial_{[\mu}e_{\nu]}{}^{b]} - e^{\nu[a}e^{b]\sigma}e_{\mu c}\partial_\nu e_\sigma{}^c + 2e_\mu{}^{[a}b^{b]} + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar\psi^{[a}\gamma^{b]}\psi_\mu + \tfrac{1}{4}\bar\psi^a\gamma_\mu\psi^b, \\ \phi_\mu^i &= \tfrac{1}{3}\,\mathrm{i}\,\gamma^a\widehat R'_{\mu a}{}^i(Q) - \tfrac{1}{24}\,\mathrm{i}\,\gamma_\mu\gamma^{ab}\widehat R'_{ab}{}^i(Q)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

We have the field strengths for $C_\mu, B_{\mu\nu}$ and V_μ^{ij} defined as

$$\widehat G_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu}C_{\nu]} + \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\sigma\bar\psi_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]} - \bar\psi_{[\mu}\gamma_{\nu]}\psi\ , \tag{2.5}$$

$$\widehat H_{\mu\nu\rho} = 3\partial_{[\mu}B_{\nu\rho]} + \tfrac{3}{2}C_{[\mu}G_{\nu\rho]} - \tfrac{3}{4}\sigma^2\bar\psi_{[\mu}\gamma_\nu\psi_{\rho]} - \tfrac{3}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\sigma\bar\psi_{[\mu}\gamma_{\nu\rho]}\psi\ , \tag{2.6}$$

$$\widehat R_{\mu\nu}{}^{ij}(V) = 2\partial_{[\mu}V_{\nu]}{}^{ij} - 2V_{[\mu}{}^{k(i}V_{\nu]k}{}^{j)} - 3i\bar\phi_{[\mu}^{(i}\psi_{\nu]}^{j)} - 8\bar\psi_{[\mu}^{(i}\gamma_{\nu]}\chi^{j)} - i\bar\psi_{[\mu}^{(i}\gamma\cdot T\psi_{\nu]}^{j)}\ , \tag{2.7}$$

and the gravitino curvature as

$$\widehat R_{\mu\nu}{}^i(Q) = \widehat R'_{\mu\nu}{}^i(Q) - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\gamma_{[\mu}\phi_{\nu]}^i\ , \tag{2.8}$$

$$\widehat R'_{\mu\nu}{}^i(Q) = 2\partial_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]}^i + \tfrac{1}{2}\widehat\omega_{[\mu}{}^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\psi_{\nu]}^i + b_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]}^i - 2V_{[\mu}{}^{ij}\psi_{\nu]\,j} + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\gamma\cdot T\gamma_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]}^i\ . \tag{2.9}$$

Note that $\widehat H_{\mu\nu\rho}$ is invariant under the bosonic gauge transformations

$$\delta C_\mu = \partial\Lambda\ , \qquad \delta B_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu}\Lambda_{\nu]} - \tfrac{1}{2}\Lambda G_{\mu\nu}\ , \tag{2.10}$$

where $G_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu}C_{\nu]}$. Finally, for future reference we give the transformation rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\widehat{\omega}_\mu{}^{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^{ab}\phi_\mu - \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar{\eta}\gamma^{ab}\psi_\mu - \mathrm{i}\,\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^{[a}\gamma\cdot T\gamma^{b]}\psi_\mu \\
&\quad -\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^{[a}\widehat{R}_\mu{}^{b]}(Q) - \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_\mu\widehat{R}^{ab}(Q) - 4e_\mu{}^{[a}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^{b]}\chi\ , \qquad (2.11)\\
\delta\widehat{H}_{abc} &= -\tfrac{3}{4}\sigma^2\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_{[a}\widehat{R}_{bc]}(Q) + \tfrac{3}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_{[ab}\widehat{D}_{c]}\psi + \tfrac{3}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_{[ab]}\psi\widehat{D}_{c]}\sigma \\
&\quad -\tfrac{3}{2}\sigma\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_{[a}\gamma\cdot T\gamma_{bc]}\psi - \tfrac{3}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_{[a}\widehat{G}_{bc]}\psi - \tfrac{3}{2}\sigma\bar{\eta}\gamma_{abc}\psi\ , \qquad (2.12)\\
\delta\widehat{G}_{ab} &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\sigma\bar{\epsilon}\widehat{R}_{ab}(Q) - \bar{\epsilon}\gamma_{[a}\widehat{D}_{b]}\psi + \mathrm{i}\,\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_{[a}\gamma\cdot T\gamma_{b]}\psi + \mathrm{i}\,\bar{\eta}\gamma_{ab}\psi\ . \qquad (2.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

This concludes our short review of the Dilaton Weyl multiplet.

2.2 The Yang-Mills Multiplet

The off-shell non-abelian $D = 5$, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet consists of $8n + 8n$ bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom (where n denotes the dimension of the gauge group). Denoting the Yang-Mills index by I ($I = 1, \cdots, n$), the bosonic field content consists of vector fields A^I_μ, scalar fields ρ^I and auxiliary fields $Y^{ij\,I} = Y^{(ij)\,I}$, that are SU(2)-triplets. The fermion fields are given by SU(2)-doublets λ^{iI}.

The Q- and S-transformations of the vector multiplet, in the background of the Dilaton Weyl multiplet, are given by [11]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta A^I_\mu &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\rho^I\bar{\epsilon}\psi_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_\mu\lambda^I\ , \\
\delta Y^{ij\,I} &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\widehat{\not{D}}\lambda^{j)I} + \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\gamma\cdot T\lambda^{j)I} - 4\,\mathrm{i}\,\rho^I\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\chi^{j)} + \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar{\eta}^{(i}\lambda^{j)I} - \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,g\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}f_{JK}{}^I\rho^J\lambda^{j)K}\ , \\
\delta\lambda^{iI} &= -\tfrac{1}{4}\gamma\cdot\widehat{F}^I\epsilon^i - \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\widehat{\not{D}}\rho^I\epsilon^i + \rho^I\gamma\cdot T\epsilon^i - Y^{ij\,I}\epsilon_j + \rho^I\eta^i\ , \\
\delta\rho^I &= \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar{\epsilon}\lambda^I\ . \qquad (2.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have used here the superconformally covariant derivatives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{D}_\mu\,\rho^I &= (\partial_\mu - b_\mu)\rho^I + gf_{JK}{}^I A^J_\mu\rho^K - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar{\psi}_\mu\lambda^I\ , \qquad (2.15)\\
\widehat{D}_\mu\lambda^{iI} &= (\partial_\mu - \tfrac{3}{2}b_\mu + \tfrac{1}{4}\widehat{\omega}_\mu{}^{ab}\gamma_{ab})\lambda^{iI} - V^{ij}_\mu\lambda^I_j + gf_{JK}{}^I A^J_\mu\lambda^{iK} \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{4}\gamma\cdot\widehat{F}^I\psi^i_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\widehat{\not{D}}\rho^I\psi^i_\mu + Y^{ijI}\psi_{\mu\,j} - \rho^I\gamma\cdot T\psi^i_\mu - \rho^I\phi^i_\mu\ , \qquad (2.16)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the supercovariant Yang-Mills curvature

$$
\widehat{F}^I_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu}A^I_{\nu]} + gf_{JK}{}^I A^J_\mu A^K_\nu - \bar{\psi}_{[\mu}\gamma_{\nu]}\lambda^I + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\rho^I\bar{\psi}_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]}\ . \qquad (2.17)
$$

3 Change of Basis

In what follows, it turns out to be convenient to change to a basis, denoted by tilded fields, in which all fields are dilatation and S-supersymmetry invariant. In terms of the original fields the tilded fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{e}_\mu^a &= \sigma e_\mu^a \ , \\
\tilde{\psi}_\mu^i &= \sigma^{1/2}\psi_\mu^i + \mathrm{i}\,\sigma^{-1/2}\gamma_\mu\psi^i \ , \\
\tilde{V}_\mu^{ij} &= V_\mu^{ij} - \tfrac{3}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\sigma^{-1}\bar{\psi}_\mu^{(i}\psi^{j)} + \tfrac{3}{4}\sigma^{-2}\bar{\psi}^{(i}\gamma_\mu\psi^{j)} \ , \\
\tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} &= B_{\mu\nu} \ , \\
\tilde{C}_\mu &= C_\mu \ , \\
\tilde{\epsilon} &= \sigma^{1/2}\epsilon \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Dropping the tildes for convenience in notation, we find that the supersymmetry transformation rules in the new basis are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta e_\mu{}^a &= \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^a\psi_\mu \ , \\
\delta\psi_\mu^i &= D_\mu(\widehat{\omega}_-)\epsilon^i - \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\widehat{G}_{\mu\nu}\gamma^\nu\epsilon^i \ , \\
\delta V_\mu{}^{ij} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\gamma^\nu\psi_{\mu\nu}^{j)} - \tfrac{1}{6}\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\gamma\cdot\widehat{H}\psi_\mu^{j)} - \tfrac{1}{4}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\gamma\cdot\widehat{G}\psi_\mu^{j)} \ , \\
\delta C_\mu &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar{\epsilon}\psi_\mu \ , \\
\delta B_{\mu\nu} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]} + C_{[\mu}\delta(\epsilon)C_{\nu]} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where we have used the torsionful spin connection

$$\widehat{\omega}_{\mu\pm}^{ab} = \widehat{\omega}_\mu{}^{ab} \pm \widehat{H}_\mu{}^{ab} \ , \tag{3.3}$$

$$\widehat{\omega}_\mu^{ab} = 2e^{\nu[a}\partial_{[\mu}e_{\nu]}^{\ b]} - e^{\nu[a}e^{b]\sigma}e_{\mu c}\partial_\nu e_\sigma{}^c + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}^{[a}\gamma^{b]}\psi_\mu + \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}^a\gamma_\mu\psi^b \tag{3.4}$$

and the supercovariant curvatures

$$\widehat{\psi}_{\mu\nu} = 2D_{[\mu}(\widehat{\omega}_-)\psi_{\nu]} + i\gamma^\lambda\widehat{G}_{\lambda[\mu}\psi_{\nu]} \ , \tag{3.5}$$

$$\widehat{G}_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu}C_{\nu]} + \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar{\psi}_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]} \ , \tag{3.6}$$

$$\widehat{H}_{\mu\nu\rho} = 3\partial_{[\mu}B_{\nu\rho]} - \tfrac{3}{4}\bar{\psi}_{[\mu}\gamma_\nu\psi_{\rho]} + \tfrac{3}{2}C_{[\mu}G_{\nu\rho]} \ . \tag{3.7}$$

For the purposes of the next section we also define the supercovariant curvature

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{V}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ij} &= 2\partial_{[\mu}V_{\nu]}{}^{ij} - 2V_{[\mu}{}^{k(i}V_{\nu]k}{}^{j)} - \bar{\psi}_{[\mu}^{(i}\gamma^\rho\widehat{\psi}_{\nu]\rho}^{j)} \\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{6}\bar{\psi}_\mu^{(i}\gamma\cdot\widehat{H}\psi_\nu^{j)} + \tfrac{1}{4}i\bar{\psi}_\mu^{(i}\gamma\cdot\widehat{G}\psi_\nu^{j)} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

The redefinitions (3.1) are in fact equivalent to fixing the dilatation, special conformal transformations and S-supersymmetry by imposing the gauge conditions

$$\sigma = 1 \ , \qquad b_\mu = 0 \ , \qquad \psi^i = 0 \ . \tag{3.9}$$

The first of these conditions fixes the dilatation symmetry, the second fixes the special conformal transformations, while the last condition fixes the S-supersymmetries. We stress that the gauge fixing performed here is merely a way to describe a field redefinition and will not be used to obtain an off-shell Poincaré supergravity theory. In fact, for that purpose a new compensating multiplet, which has been taken to be a linear multiplet in [11], is needed.

In order for the condition $\psi^i = 0$ to be invariant under supersymmetry, one has to modify the supersymmetry rules by adding a compensating S-supersymmetry transformations with parameter

$$\eta^i = \left(-\gamma \cdot T + \frac{1}{4} \gamma \cdot \widehat{G} \right) \epsilon^i \ . \tag{3.10}$$

The second gauge condition in (3.9), in turn, leads to the compensating conformal boost transformations with parameter

$$\Lambda_{K\mu} = -\frac{1}{4}\, \mathrm{i}\, \bar\epsilon \phi_\mu - \frac{1}{4}\, \mathrm{i}\, \bar\eta \psi_\mu + \bar\epsilon \gamma_\mu \chi \ , \tag{3.11}$$

with η as given in (3.10).

Similarly, we change basis for the Yang-Mills multiplet by defining the dilatation and S-supersymmetry invariant tilded fields as

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{A}^I_\mu &= A^I_\mu \ , \\
\tilde{Y}^{ijI} &= \sigma^{-2} Y^{ijI} + \tfrac{1}{10} \sigma^{-2} \bar\psi^{(i}_\mu \gamma^\mu \lambda^{j)I} - \tfrac{1}{20} i \sigma^{-2} \rho^I \bar\psi^{(i}_\mu \psi^{j)\mu} \ , \\
\tilde{\lambda}^{iI} &= \sigma^{-3/2} \lambda^{iI} - \tfrac{1}{5} i \sigma^{-3/2} \rho^I \gamma^\mu \psi^i_\mu \ , \\
\tilde{\rho}^I &= \sigma^{-1} \rho^I \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Again, dropping the tildes for convenience in notation, we find the supersymmetry transformation rules

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta A^I_\mu &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\, \mathrm{i}\, \rho^I \bar\epsilon \psi_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2} \bar\epsilon \gamma_\mu \lambda^I \ , \\
\delta Y^{ij\,I} &= -\tfrac{1}{2} \bar\epsilon^{(i} \widehat{\not{D}} \lambda^{j)I} - \tfrac{1}{24} \bar\epsilon^{(i} \gamma \cdot \widehat{H} \lambda^{j)I} - \tfrac{1}{2} i g \bar\epsilon^{(i} f_{JK}{}^I \rho^J \lambda^{j)K} \ , \\
\delta \lambda^{iI} &= -\tfrac{1}{4} \left(\gamma \cdot \widehat{F}^I - \rho^I \gamma \cdot \widehat{G} \right) \epsilon^i - \tfrac{1}{2}\, \mathrm{i}\, \widehat{\not{D}} \rho^I \epsilon^i - Y^{ij\,I} \epsilon_j \ , \\
\delta \rho^I &= \tfrac{1}{2}\, \mathrm{i}\, \bar\epsilon \lambda^I \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where $\widehat{F}^I_{\mu\nu}$ and $\widehat{D}_\mu \rho^I$ are as defined in (2.17) and (2.15), respectively, and

$$\widehat{D}_\mu \lambda^{iI} = (\partial_\mu + \tfrac{1}{4} \widehat{\omega}_\mu{}^{ab} \gamma_{ab}) \lambda^{iI} - V^{ij}_\mu \lambda^I_j + g f_{JK}{}^I A^J_\mu \lambda^{iK}$$

$$+\tfrac{1}{4}\left(\gamma\cdot\widehat{F}^{I}-\rho^{I}\gamma\cdot\widehat{G}\right)\psi_{\mu}^{i}+\tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\widehat{\not{D}}\rho^{I}\psi_{\mu}^{i}+Y^{ij\,I}\psi_{\mu\,j}\ . \tag{3.14}$$

With these results at hand, we are ready to make a connection between the Dilaton Weyl multiplet and Yang-Mills multiplet transformation rules.

4 The Weyl multiplet as a Yang-Mills Multiplet

In this section, we will show that the following multiplet of fields

$$\left(\widehat{\omega}_{\mu+}^{\ ab},\ -\widehat{\psi}^{i}_{ab},\ -\widehat{V}_{ab}{}^{ij},\ \widehat{G}_{ab}\right), \tag{4.1}$$

defined in (3.3), (3.5), (3.8) and (3.6), respectively, transforms as a Yang-Mills multiplet

$$\left(A_{\mu}^{I},\ \lambda^{iI},\ Y^{ij\,I},\ \rho^{I}\right), \tag{4.2}$$

where the antisymmetric index pair ab plays the role of the Yang-Mills index I, for Yang-Mills group SO(4, 1). In the above the definition of the gravitino curvature that follows from (3.5) is given by

$$\widehat{\psi}_{ab}=2D_{[a}(\widehat{\omega},\widehat{\omega}_{-})\psi_{b]}+i\gamma^{c}\widehat{G}_{c[a}\psi_{b]}\ , \tag{4.3}$$

where it is important to note that in $D_a(\widehat{\omega},\widehat{\omega}_-)\psi_b$, the connection $\widehat{\omega}$ rotates the Lorentz vector index, while the connection $\widehat{\omega}_-$ rotates the Lorentz spinor index.

Next, we calculate the transformation rules of $\widehat{\omega}_{\mu+}^{\ ab}$ and $\widehat{G}_{ab}$. In the new basis, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\widehat{\omega}_{\mu}{}^{ab} &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^{[a}\widehat{\psi}_{\mu}{}^{b]}-\tfrac{1}{4}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_{\mu}\widehat{\psi}^{ab}-\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^{c}\psi_{\mu}\widehat{H}_{cab}-\tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar{\epsilon}\psi_{\mu}\widehat{G}_{ab}\ ,\\
\delta\widehat{H}_{\mu ab} &= -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_{[a}\widehat{\psi}_{b]\mu}-\frac{1}{4}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_{\mu}\widehat{\psi}_{ab}+\frac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^{c}\psi_{\mu}\widehat{H}_{cab}\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

$$\delta\widehat{G}_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\bar{\epsilon}\widehat{\psi}_{ab}\ . \tag{4.5}$$

From the first two equations it readily follows that

$$\delta\widehat{\omega}_{\mu+}^{\ ab}=-\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\widehat{G}^{ab}\bar{\epsilon}\psi_{\mu}-\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_{\mu}\widehat{\psi}^{ab}\ . \tag{4.6}$$

Comparing these results with the transformation rules of the Yang-Mills multiplet, one sees that they indeed agree upon making the identification

$$\widehat{\omega}_{\mu+}^{\ ab}\ \leftrightarrow\ A_{\mu}^{I}\ ,\qquad \widehat{\psi}^{i\,ab}\ \leftrightarrow\ -\lambda^{iI}\ ,\qquad \widehat{G}^{ab}\ \leftrightarrow\ \rho^{I}\ . \tag{4.7}$$

Next, we compute the transformation rule for $\widehat{\psi}^{i}_{ab}$. We find

$$\delta\widehat{\psi}^{i}_{ab}=\tfrac{1}{4}\widehat{R}_{abcd}(\widehat{\omega}_{-})\gamma^{cd}\epsilon^{i}-\widehat{V}_{ab}{}^{ij}\epsilon_{j}-\mathrm{i}\,\widehat{D}_{[a}(\widehat{\omega},\widehat{\omega}_{-})\widehat{G}_{b]c}\gamma^{c}\epsilon^{i}+\tfrac{1}{2}\widehat{G}_{ca}\widehat{G}_{bd}\gamma^{cd}\epsilon^{i}\ , \tag{4.8}$$

where $\widehat{R}_{abcd}(\widehat{\omega}_-)$ denotes the super-covariant curvature of the torsionful connection $\widehat{\omega}_-$, and in $\widehat{D}_a(\widehat{\omega},\widehat{\omega}_-)\widehat{G}_{bc}$ it is important to note that the connection $\widehat{\omega}$ rotates the Lorentz vector index b, while the connection $\widehat{\omega}_-$ rotates the index c. This follows from (4.3). Next, using the Bianchi identity for $\widehat{H}$

$$\widehat{D}_{[a}(\widehat{\omega})\widehat{H}_{bcd]} = \tfrac{3}{4}\widehat{G}_{[ab}\widehat{G}_{cd]}\ , \tag{4.9}$$

one finds that

$$\widehat{R}_{abcd}(\widehat{\omega}_-) = \widehat{R}_{cdab}(\widehat{\omega}_+) - \left(\widehat{G}_{ab}\widehat{G}_{cd} + 2\widehat{G}_{a[c}\widehat{G}_{d]b}\right)\ . \tag{4.10}$$

If one furthermore uses the Bianchi identity $\widehat{D}_{[a}(\widehat{\omega})\widehat{G}_{cd]} = 0$, one finds the final result

$$\delta\widehat{\psi}^i_{ab} = \tfrac{1}{4}\gamma^{cd}\widehat{R}_{cdab}(\widehat{\omega}_+)\epsilon^i - \widehat{V}_{ab}{}^{ij}\epsilon_j + \tfrac{1}{2}i\gamma^\mu\widehat{D}_\mu(\widehat{\omega}_+)\widehat{G}_{ab}\epsilon^i - \tfrac{1}{4}\widehat{G}_{ab}\gamma\cdot\widehat{G}\epsilon^i\ , \tag{4.11}$$

where in $\widehat{D}_\mu(\widehat{\omega}_+)\widehat{G}_{ab}$, the connection $\widehat{\omega}_+$ rotates both of the indices a and b. Upon using the identifications (4.7), one sees that this transformation rule indeed assumes the form of $\delta\lambda^{iI}$, see (3.13), if one makes the extra identification

$$\widehat{V}_{ab}{}^{ij} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad -Y^{ij\,I}\,. \tag{4.12}$$

Finally, we calculate the transformation rule of $\widehat{V}_{ab}{}^{ij}$ in a similar way. We find

$$\delta\widehat{V}_{ab}{}^{ij} = \bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\gamma^c\widehat{D}_{[a}(\widehat{\omega},\widehat{\omega}_-)\psi_{b]c} - \tfrac{1}{6}\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\gamma\cdot\widehat{H}\widehat{\psi}^{j)}_{ab} - \tfrac{1}{4}i\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\gamma\cdot\widehat{G}\widehat{\psi}^{j)}_{ab}\ , \tag{4.13}$$

where in $\widehat{D}_a(\widehat{\omega},\widehat{\omega}_-)\psi_{bc}$ the connection $\widehat{\omega}$ acts on the index b while the connection $\widehat{\omega}_-$ acts on the index c and the spinor index. Upon using the Bianchi identity

$$\widehat{D}_{[a}(\widehat{\omega})\widehat{\psi}^i_{bc]} = -\tfrac{1}{6}i\gamma^d\left(2\widehat{G}_{d[a}\widehat{\psi}^i_{b]c} + \widehat{G}_{dc}\widehat{\psi}^i_{ab}\right)\ , \tag{4.14}$$

we then find

$$\delta\widehat{V}_{ab}{}^{ij} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\widehat{\not{D}}(\widehat{\omega},\widehat{\omega}_-)\widehat{\psi}^{j)}_{ab} - \tfrac{1}{6}\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\gamma\cdot\widehat{H}\widehat{\psi}^{j)}_{ab} - \mathrm{i}\,\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\widehat{G}^d{}_{[a}\widehat{\psi}^{j)}_{b]d}\ , \tag{4.15}$$

where, in $\widehat{D}_\mu(\widehat{\omega},\widehat{\omega}_-)\widehat{\psi}^{j)}_{ab}$ the connection $\widehat{\omega}$ rotates the spinor index, while the connection $\widehat{\omega}_-$ rotates the Lorentz vector indices. The expression (4.15) can equivalently be written as

$$\delta\widehat{V}_{ab}{}^{ij}(V) = -\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\widehat{\not{D}}(\widehat{\omega},\widehat{\omega}_+)\widehat{\psi}^{j)}_{ab} - \tfrac{1}{24}\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\gamma\cdot\widehat{H}\psi^{j)}_{ab} - \mathrm{i}\,\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\widehat{G}^d{}_{[a}\widehat{\psi}^{j)}_{b]d}\ , \tag{4.16}$$

where in $\widehat{D}_c(\widehat{\omega},\widehat{\omega}_+)\widehat{\psi}_{ab}$ the connection $\widehat{\omega}$ acts on the spinor index, while $\widehat{\omega}_+$ acts on both of the indices a and b. This result indeed agrees with the corresponding Yang-Mills transformation rule, upon using the identifications (4.7) and (4.12).

Summarizing, we find the correspondence

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^I_\mu \\ \\ Y^{ij}_I \\ \\ \lambda^i_I \\ \\ \rho_I \end{pmatrix} \qquad \longleftrightarrow \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\omega}_{\mu+}{}^{ab} \\ \\ -\widehat{V}_{ab}{}^{ij} \\ \\ -\widehat{\psi}^i_{ab} \\ \\ \widehat{G}_{ab} \end{pmatrix}\ . \tag{4.17}$$

This concludes our discussion of the analogy between the Dilaton Weyl multiplet and the Yang-Mills multiplet. After constructing the coupling of the Yang-Mills multiplet to the Weyl multiplet by means of dimensional reduction from $6D$ in the next section, we shall use the correspondence (4.17) to obtain the Riemann squared invariant in the subsequent section.

5 Yang-Mills Coupled to Weyl in $6D$ and Dimensional Reduction to $5D$

In this section we will verify that the $5D$ local supersymmetry transformations of the Weyl multiplet and Yang-Mills multiplet given above follow precisely from a suitable circle reduction and truncation of the known counterparts in $6D$ [4]. Next, we will reduce the action in $6D$ that describes the coupling of a Yang-Mills multiplet to the Weyl multiplet [4] down to $5D$. Using these results, we shall then construct in section 6 the new supersymmetric Riemann tensor squared invariant by making use of the analogy between the nonabelian vector multiplet and the Dilaton Weyl multiplet, derived in the previous section.

5.1 The $6D$ Action for Yang-Mills Coupled to Weyl

The $6D$ Weyl multiplet with the superconformal symmetries gauge-fixed, or equivalently with suitable field redefinitions amounting to the same thing, consists of the vielbein ${E_M}^A$, gravitino Ψ^i_M, the $SU(2)$ valued vector fields $\mathcal{V}^{ij}_M$ and the 2-form potential $\mathcal{B}_{MN}$. Their supersymmetry transformations are given by [2]

$$\begin{aligned}
{E_B}^M\delta {E_M}^A &= \tfrac{1}{2}\bar\varepsilon\Gamma^A\Psi_B \ ,\\
\delta\Psi_A &= \mathcal{D}_A(\widehat\Omega)\varepsilon + \tfrac{1}{8}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_A{}^{BC}\Gamma_{BC}\varepsilon - \left({E_A}^M\delta {E_M}^B\right)\Psi_B \ ,\\
\delta\mathcal{V}^{ij}_A &= \bar\varepsilon^{(i}\Gamma^B\Psi^{j)}_{AB} - \tfrac{1}{6}\bar\varepsilon^{(i}\Gamma^{BCD}\Psi^{j)}_A\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{BCD} - \left({E_A}^M\delta {E_M}^B\right)\mathcal{V}^{ij}_B \ ,\\
\delta\mathcal{B}_{AB} &= -\bar\varepsilon\Gamma_{[A}\Psi_{B]} + 2\left(E_{[A|}{}^M\delta {E_M}^C\right)\mathcal{B}_{|B]C} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat\Psi^i_{AB} &= 2D_{[A}(\widehat\Omega)\Psi^i_{B]} + \tfrac{1}{4}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{CD[A}\Gamma^{CD}\Psi^i_{B]} + T_{AB}{}^C\Psi^i_C \ ,\\
\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{ABC} &= 3\partial_{[A}\mathcal{B}_{BC]} + \tfrac{3}{2}\bar\Psi_{[A}\Gamma_B\Psi_{C]} - 3T_{[AB}{}^D\mathcal{B}_{C]D} \ ,\\
T_{AB}{}^C &= {E_A}^M{E_B}^N\left(\partial_M {E_N}^C - \partial_N {E_M}^C\right) \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat\Omega_C{}^{AB} &= 2E^M_C E^{N[A}\partial_{[M}E_{N]}{}^{B]} - E^{MA}E^{NB}\partial_{[M}E_{N]C} + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar\Psi_C\Gamma^{[A}\Psi^{B]} + \tfrac{1}{4}\bar\Psi^A\Gamma_C\Psi^B \ ,\\
D_A(\widehat\Omega)\varepsilon_i &= \partial_A\varepsilon^i + \tfrac{1}{4}\widehat\Omega_A{}^{BC}\Gamma_{BC}\varepsilon^i + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}^{ij}_A\varepsilon_j \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Turning to the $6D$ Yang-Mills multiplet, it consists of a vector W_M, a spinor Ω^i and a triplet of auxiliary fields $\mathcal{Y}_{ij}$. The superconformal gauge fixed Yang-Mills supermultiplet transformations take the form [2]

$$\begin{aligned} \delta W_A &= -\bar{\varepsilon}\Gamma_A\Omega - \left(E_A{}^M \delta E_M{}^B\right) W_B \ , \\ \delta\Omega^i &= \tfrac{1}{8}\Gamma^{AB}\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{AB}\varepsilon^i - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{Y}^{ij}\varepsilon_j \ , \\ \delta\mathcal{Y}^{ij} &= -\bar{\varepsilon}^{(i}\Gamma^A\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_A\Omega^{j)} - \tfrac{1}{24}\bar{\varepsilon}^{(i}\Gamma^{ABC}\Omega^{j)}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{ABC} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where $W_A = W_A^I T_I$, and similarly for the other members of the Yang-Mills multiplet, where T_I are the generators of the Yang-Mills gauge group, and

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{AB} = 2\partial_{[A}W_{B]} + g[W_A, W_B] + 2\bar{\Psi}_{[A}\Gamma_{B]}\Omega + T_{AB}{}^C W_C \ , \tag{5.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_A\Omega^i &= \partial_A\Omega^i + \tfrac{1}{4}\widehat{\Omega}_A{}^{BC}\Gamma_{BC}\Omega^i + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}_A^{ij}\Omega_j - g[W_A, \Omega^i] \\ &\quad -\tfrac{1}{8}\Gamma^{BC}\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{BC}\Psi_A^i + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{Y}^{ij}\Psi_{Aj} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Finally, the locally supersymmetric Lagrangian in $6D$ that describes the couplings of the superconformal gauge fixed Yang-Mills multiplet and Weyl multiplet is given by [4]

$$\begin{aligned} E^{-1}\mathcal{L}_6 &= -\tfrac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{AB}^I\mathcal{F}_I^{AB} - 2\bar{\Omega}\Gamma^A D_A\Omega + \mathcal{Y}_{ij}^I\mathcal{Y}_I^{ij} - \tfrac{1}{16}\varepsilon^{ABCDEF}\mathcal{B}_{AB}\mathcal{F}_{CD}^I\mathcal{F}_{EF}^I \\ &\quad +\tfrac{1}{4}\left(\mathcal{F}_{AB}^I + \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{AB}^I\right)\bar{\Omega}^I\Gamma^C\Gamma^{AB}\Psi_C + \tfrac{1}{12}\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{ABC}\bar{\Omega}^I\Gamma^{ABC}\Omega^I \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}^I$ is the ordinary Yang-Mills field strength and

$$\mathcal{D}_A\Omega^i = \partial_A\Omega^i + \tfrac{1}{4}\widehat{\Omega}_A{}^{BC}\Gamma_{BC}\Omega^i + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}_A^{ij}\Omega_j - g[W_A, \Omega^i] \ . \tag{5.8}$$

5.2 Dimensional Reduction to $5D$

We begin by making the ansatz[1]

$$\begin{aligned} E_M{}^A &= \begin{pmatrix} e_\mu{}^a & -C_\mu \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ , \qquad E_A{}^M = \begin{pmatrix} e_a{}^\mu & e_a{}^\mu C_\mu \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ , \\ \mathcal{B}_{ab} &= -2e_a{}^\mu e_b{}^\nu B_{\mu\nu} \ , \qquad \mathcal{B}_{a5} = -e_a{}^\mu C_\mu \ , \qquad \mathcal{V}_a^{ij} = -2e_a{}^\mu V_\mu^{ij} \ , \qquad \mathcal{V}_5^{ij} = 0 \ , \\ \Psi_a &= e_a{}^\mu\psi_\mu \ , \qquad \Psi_5 = 0 \ , \qquad \varepsilon = \epsilon \ , \\ W_a &= e_a{}^\mu A_\mu \ , \qquad W_5 = \rho \ , \qquad \mathcal{Y}^{ij} = -Y^{ij} \ , \qquad \Omega = -\tfrac{1}{2}\lambda \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

We also let

$$\Gamma_a = i\gamma_a\gamma_5 \ , \qquad \bar{\varepsilon} = i\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_5 \ , \qquad \varepsilon^{abcde5} = \varepsilon^{abcde} \ . \tag{5.10}$$

---

[1] Due the sign in the relation between $\mathcal{B}_{ab}$ and B_{ab}, the torsionful spin connection $\widehat{\omega}_-$ in [1] corresponds to $\widehat{\omega}_+$ here.

The second expression in (5.10) applies to all Dirac conjugated spinors. Note also that we have identified the Kaluza-Klein vector originating from the $6D$ metric with $\mathcal{B}_{a5}$, and we have set to zero $\mathcal{V}_5^{ij}$ and Ψ_5. This amounts to a consistent truncation of a single off-shell vector multiplet in $5D$.

It is now a straightforward exercise to show that the above ansatz yields precisely the local supersymmetry transformations (3.2) and (3.13). In doing so, and in reducing the Lagrangian (5.7) to $5D$, it is useful to note the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{ab} = \widehat{F}_{ab} - \rho\widehat{G}_{ab}\ , \qquad \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{a5} = \widehat{D}_a\rho\ ,\\
&\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{abc} = -2\widehat{H}_{abc}\ , \qquad \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{ab5} = -\widehat{G}_{ab}\ ,\\
&\widehat{\Omega}_c{}^{ab} = \widehat{\omega}_c{}^{ab}\ , \qquad \widehat{\Omega}_5{}^{ab} = \tfrac{1}{2}\widehat{G}^{ab}\ , \qquad \widehat{\Omega}_a{}^{b5} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\widehat{G}_a{}^b\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

where $\widehat{F}^I_{\mu\nu}, \widehat{G}_{\mu\nu}, \widehat{H}_{\mu\nu\rho}$ and $\widehat{\omega}_\mu^{ab}$ are defined in (2.17), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.4), respectively.

It is also useful to record the results

$$\begin{aligned}
D_a\Omega &= -\tfrac{1}{2}D_a(\widehat{\omega})\lambda + \tfrac{1}{8}i\widehat{G}_{ab}\gamma^b\lambda\ ,\\
D_5\Omega &= -\tfrac{1}{16}\widehat{G}_{ab}\gamma^{ab}\lambda\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Armed with these results, it is straightforward to reduce the Lagrangian (5.7) to $5D$. The result is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-1}\mathcal{L}_5 \;=\;& -\tfrac{1}{4}\left(F^I_{\mu\nu} - \rho^I G_{\mu\nu}\right)\left(F^{\mu\nu I} - \rho^I G^{\mu\nu}\right) - \tfrac{1}{2}D_\mu\rho^I D^\mu\rho^I - \tfrac{1}{2}\bar\lambda^I \not{D}\lambda^I + Y^I_{ij}Y^{ijI}\\
&+\tfrac{1}{16}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}\left(F^I_{\mu\nu} - \rho^I G_{\mu\nu}\right)\left[(F^I_{\rho\sigma} - \rho^I G_{\rho\sigma})C_\lambda + 8B_{\rho\sigma}D_\lambda\rho^I\right]\\
&-\tfrac{1}{2}i\bar\lambda^I\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\psi_\nu\left(D_\mu\rho^I - \tfrac{1}{4}i\bar\psi_\mu\lambda^I\right)\\
&-\tfrac{1}{4}\left(F^I_{\mu\nu} - \rho^I G_{\mu\nu} - \tfrac{1}{2}\bar\psi_\mu\gamma_\nu\lambda^I\right)\bar\lambda^I\gamma^\rho\gamma^{\mu\nu}\psi_\rho\\
&-\tfrac{1}{24}\widehat{H}_{\mu\nu\rho}\bar\lambda^I\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\lambda^I - \tfrac{1}{8}i\widehat{G}_{\mu\nu}\bar\lambda^I\gamma^{\mu\nu}\lambda^I\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

This Lagrangian will be our starting point for constructing the supersymmetric Riemann tensor squared action in the next section.

6 The Riemann Squared Invariant

To obtain the Riemann squared invariant, we make the substitutions (4.17) in the Lagrangian (5.13). Thus we find the main result of this paper given by

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-1}\mathcal{L}(R^2) \;=\;& -\tfrac{1}{4}\left[R_{\mu\nu ab}(\widehat{\omega}_+) - G_{\mu\nu}\widehat{G}_{ab}\right]\left[R^{\mu\nu ab}(\widehat{\omega}_+) - G^{\mu\nu}\widehat{G}^{ab}\right]\\
&-\tfrac{1}{2}D_\mu(\widehat{\omega}_+)\widehat{G}^{ab}D^\mu(\widehat{\omega}_+)\widehat{G}_{ab} + \widehat{V}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ij}\widehat{V}^{\mu\nu}{}_{ij} - \tfrac{1}{2}\widehat{\bar\psi}^{ab}\not{D}(\widehat{\omega},\widehat{\omega}_+)\widehat{\psi}_{ab}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\tfrac{1}{16}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}\left(R_{\mu\nu ab}(\widehat{\omega}_+) - G_{\mu\nu}\widehat{G}_{ab}\right)\left(R_{\rho\sigma}{}^{ab}(\widehat{\omega}_+) - G_{\rho\sigma}\widehat{G}^{ab}\right) C_\lambda \\
&+\tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}\left(R_{\mu\nu ab}(\widehat{\omega}_+) - G_{\mu\nu}\widehat{G}_{ab}\right)\left(D_\lambda(\widehat{\omega}_+)G^{ab}\right) B_{\rho\sigma} \\
&-\tfrac{1}{2}i\bar{\psi}_\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\widehat{\psi}^{ab}\widehat{D}_\mu(\widehat{\omega}_+)\widehat{G}_{ab} + \tfrac{1}{4}\left(R_{\mu\nu ab}(\widehat{\omega}_+) - G_{\mu\nu}\widehat{G}_{ab}\right)\bar{\psi}_\rho\gamma^{\mu\nu}\gamma^\rho\widehat{\psi}_{ab} \\
&-\tfrac{1}{24}\widehat{H}_{\mu\nu\rho}\widehat{\bar{\psi}}_{ab}\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\widehat{\psi}^{ab} - \tfrac{1}{8}i\widehat{G}_{\mu\nu}\widehat{\bar{\psi}}_{ab}\gamma^{\mu\nu}\widehat{\psi}^{ab} \\
&-\tfrac{1}{8}\bar{\psi}_\nu\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\widehat{\psi}^{ab}\bar{\psi}_\mu\widehat{\psi}_{ab} + \tfrac{1}{8}\bar{\psi}_\rho\gamma^{\mu\nu}\gamma^\rho\widehat{\psi}^{ab}\bar{\psi}_\mu\gamma_\nu\widehat{\psi}_{ab}\ . \qquad (6.1)
\end{aligned}
$$

The action of this Lagrangian is invariant under the off-shell $\mathcal{N} = 2, D = 5$ supersymmetry transformations given in (3.2). The use of the Lorentz vector indices is motivated by the substitution rule (4.17). As a consequence, in $D_\mu(\widehat{\omega}_+)\widehat{G}_{ab}$ the spin connection $\widehat{\omega}_+$ rotates the indices a and b, while in $D_\mu(\widehat{\omega}, \widehat{\omega}_+)\widehat{\psi}_{ab}$ the connection $\widehat{\omega}$ rotates the spinor index, and the connection $\widehat{\omega}_+$ rotates the indices a and b.

The purely bosonic part of the Lagrangian takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{-1}\mathcal{L}(R^2)_{\text{bosonic}} \;=\;& -\tfrac{1}{4}\left[R_{\mu\nu ab}(\omega_+) - G_{\mu\nu}G_{ab}\right]\left[R^{\mu\nu ab}(\omega_+) - G^{\mu\nu}G^{ab}\right] \\
& -\tfrac{1}{2}D_\mu(\omega_+)G^{ab}D^\mu(\omega_+)G_{ab} + V_{\mu\nu}{}^{ij}V^{\mu\nu}{}_{ij} \\
& +\tfrac{1}{16}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}\left(R_{\mu\nu ab}(\omega_+) - G_{\mu\nu}G_{ab}\right)\left(R_{\rho\sigma}{}^{ab}(\omega_+) - G_{\rho\sigma}G^{ab}\right) C_\lambda \\
& +\tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}\left(R_{\mu\nu ab}(\omega_+) - G_{\mu\nu}G_{ab}\right)\left(D_\lambda(\omega_+)G^{ab}\right) B_{\rho\sigma}\ . \qquad (6.2)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is possible to extend the above result by adding the Hilbert-Einstein term, as well as the Weyl squared invariant of [5]. To do so, one first performs the inverse of the field redefinitions (3.1) making the fields σ and ψ^i explicit. Next, the Weyl squared invariant of [5], prior to any conformal symmetry gauge fixing, can be added to our action. The Standard Weyl multiplet used in that action can be converted to the Dilaton Weyl multiplet by using the map that exists between these two multiplets, see eqs. (2.3) and (2.3). Finally, a superconformal version of the Einstein action, using the linear multiplet as a compensating multiplet, can be added to these two actions. A conformal gauge-fixing at the very end then leads to the desired result. Alternatively, instead of giving the Einstein actoin a superconformal treatment, one can also reduce the off-shell Poincaré supergravity constructed in [4, 12] to $5D$ in a manner described in this work.

We note that in the off-shell Poincaré supergravity theory the vector fields $V_\mu{}^{ij}$ are auxiliary. However, with the addition of our Riemann squared invariant, these fields acquire kinetic terms and become dynamical. Such dynamical auxiliary fields should be treated with care in a string theory approximation.[2]

---

[2] We thank Bernard de Wit for a clarifying discussion on this point.

7 Conclusions

In this note we have constructed a new $D = 5, \mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Riemann tensor squared action. A noteworthy feature of this action is that it contains the purely gravitational Chern-Simons action (1.2). This is in contrast to the higher order invariant constructed in [5] whose leading term is the Weyl tensor squared and which contains the mixed Chern-Simons term (1.1). The latter invariant plays an important role in higher-order considerations in black hole entropy calculations and the AdS/CFT correspondence. We expect our newly constructed invariant to play a similar role too. In particular, it would be interesting to see whether our new action may lead to higher-order corrections to the entropy formula of black holes in a similar way as the higher-order invariant of [5] did [17]. In this context, it is of interest to note that a similar torsionful Riem^2-invariant in three dimensions did not lead to any correction of the central charge of the corresponding boundary conformal field theory due to a curvature with paralellizing torsion [13]. For general R^2-invariants, however, one does expect corrections, see e.g. [18].

Our construction was based on the methods developed some time ago in the context of $D = 6$ dimensions [1–3]. Both in $D = 6$ and $D = 5$ dimensions use is made of the observation that the underlying Weyl multiplet contains a dilaton scalar field which acts as the compensating field for dilatations. For this reason this multiplet was nominated the Dilaton Weyl multiplet. It turns out in a special basis where all fields are inert under dilatations and S-supersymmetry the Dilaton Weyl multiplet transforms precisely as a Yang-Mills multiplet whose action can easily be constructed. This is what makes the construction of the $D = 5$ Riemann tensor squared invariant feasible.

The maximally symmetric vacuum solutions and the resulting spectrum corresponding to the Riem^2 action (6.2) remain to be investigated. The field redefinitions (3.1) make the model invariant under the superconformal transformations (2.1), similar to a Brans-Dicke type realization of a conformal Einstein action. Therefore, the conformal symmetries may be viewed as a "fake" symmetry in a sense. Consequently, whether the formulation of the theory in this set up can lead to the possibility of discarding potentially ghostly states in a manner proposed in [14], and investigated further in [15, 16], remains to be studied. In this context, it is of interest to note that in any dimension D the Riemann tensor squared (Riem^2) can be written as the sum of a Weyl tensor squared (C^2) and a fourth-order derivative action for a compensating scalar ϕ, both of which have been considered in [14], as follows [2]

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab}R_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} &= \phi^{D-4}C_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab}C_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab} + (D-2)\phi^{D-2}(\mathcal{D}_\mu\partial_\nu\phi^{-1})(\mathcal{D}_\mu\partial_\nu\phi^{-1}) \\
&\quad +4\phi^{D-2}(\mathcal{D}^\lambda\partial_\lambda\phi^{-1})^2 - 8(D-1)\phi^{D-1}(\mathcal{D}^\lambda\partial_\lambda\phi^{-1})(\partial_\mu\phi^{-1})^2 \\
&\quad +2D(D-1)(\partial_\nu\phi^{-1})^2(\partial_\nu\phi^{-1})^2\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

Finally, following [19] the compactification of the new $R + R^2$ invariant over S^2 to $D = 3$ dimensions is expected to yield, after truncation, a supersymmetric version of topological massive gravity. It would be interesting to explicitly perform this reduction.

Acknowledgments

E.S. would like to thank Groningen University for hospitality where part of this work was done. The research of E.S. is supported in part by NSF grants PHY-0555575 and PHY-0906222. The work of J.R. is supported by the Stichting FOM.

References

[1] E. Bergshoeff, A. Salam and E. Sezgin, "A Supersymmetric R^2 Action In Six-Dimensions And Torsion," Phys. Lett. B **173** (1986) 73.

[2] E. Bergshoeff, A. Salam and E. Sezgin, "Supersymmetric R^2 Actions, Conformal Invariance And Lorentz Chern-Simons Term In Six-Dimensions And Ten-Dimensions," Nucl. Phys. B **279** (1987) 659.

[3] E. Bergshoeff and M. Rakowski, "An Off-Shell Superspace R^2 Action In Six-Dimensions," Phys. Lett. B **191** (1987) 399.

[4] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin and A. Van Proeyen, "Superconformal Tensor Calculus And Matter Couplings In Six-Dimensions," Nucl. Phys. B **264** (1986) 653 [Erratum-ibid. B **598** (2001) 667].

[5] K. Hanaki, K. Ohashi and Y. Tachikawa, "Supersymmetric Completion of an R^2 Term in Five-Dimensional Supergravity," Prog. Theor. Phys. **117** (2007) 533 [arXiv:hep-th/0611329].

[6] S. Cremonini, K. Hanaki, J. T. Liu, P. Szepietowski, "Black holes in five-dimensional gauged supergravity with higher derivatives," JHEP **0912** (2009) 045. [arXiv:0812.3572 [hep-th]].

[7] B. de Wit, S. Katmadas, "Near-horizon analysis of D=5 BPS black holes and rings," JHEP **1002** (2010) 056. [arXiv:0910.4907 [hep-th]].

[8] J. T. Liu, R. Minasian, "Computing $1/N^2$ corrections in AdS/CFT," [arXiv:1010.6074 [hep-th]].

[9] S. Cremonini, K. Hanaki, J. T. Liu, P. Szepietowski, "Higher derivative effects on eta/s at finite chemical potential," Phys. Rev. **D80** (2009) 025002. [arXiv:0903.3244 [hep-th]].

[10] E. Bergshoeff, S. Cucu, M. Derix, T. de Wit, R. Halbersma and A. Van Proeyen, *Weyl multiplets of $N = 2$ conformal supergravity in five dimensions*, JHEP **06** (2001) 051, `hep-th/0104113`

[11] E. Bergshoeff, S. Cucu, T. De Wit, J. Gheerardyn, R. Halbersma, S. Vandoren and A. Van Proeyen, "Superconformal N = 2, D = 5 matter with and without actions," JHEP **0210** (2002) 045 [arXiv:hep-th/0205230].

[12] F. Coomans and A. Proeyen, "Off-shell N=(1,0), D=6 supergravity from superconformal methods," JHEP **1102** (2011) 049 [arXiv:1101.2403 [hep-th]].

[13] R. Andringa, E. A. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, O. Hohm, E. Sezgin and P. K. Townsend, "Massive 3D Supergravity," Class. Quant. Grav. **27** (2010) 025010 [arXiv:0907.4658 [hep-th]]; E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm, J. Rosseel, E. Sezgin, P. K. Townsend, "More on Massive 3D Supergravity," Class. Quant. Grav. **28** (2011) 015002. [arXiv:1005.3952 [hep-th]].

[14] J. Maldacena, "Einstein Gravity from Conformal Gravity," arXiv:1105.5632 [hep-th].

[15] H. Lu, Y. Pang and C. N. Pope, "Conformal Gravity and Extensions of Critical Gravity," arXiv:1106.4657 [hep-th].

[16] H. Lu, C. N. Pope, E. Sezgin and L. Wulff, "Critical and Non-Critical Einstein-Weyl Supergravity," arXiv:1107.2480 [hep-th].

[17] For a review, containing many references, see "Extremal Black Holes in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Supergravity", S. Katmadas, PhD. thesis Utrecht University, July 2011, ISBN 978-90-393-5583-1.

[18] M. Cvitan, P. D. Prester, S. Pallua, I. Smolic, "Extremal black holes in D=5: SUSY vs. Gauss-Bonnet corrections," JHEP **0711** (2007) 043. [arXiv:0706.1167 [hep-th]]; M. Cvitan, P. D. Prester, A. Ficnar, "α'^2 - corrections to extremal dyonic black holes in heterotic string theory," JHEP **0805** (2008) 063. [arXiv:0710.3886 [hep-th]]; P. D. Prester, T. Terzic, "α' - exact entropies for BPS and non-BPS extremal dyonic black holes in heterotic string theory from ten-dimensional supersymmetry," JHEP **0812** (2008) 088. [arXiv:0809.4954 [hep-th]].

[19] H. Lu, C. N. Pope, E. Sezgin, "Massive Three-Dimensional Supergravity From $R + R^2$ Action in Six Dimensions," JHEP **1010** (2010) 016. [arXiv:1007.0173 [hep-th]].